(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR M.P

WRIT PETITION NO. 2359 /2000

(PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA)

Petitioner : Munnalal Thakur
aged about 30 years
S/o Shri V.N.Thakur
Councillor of Nagar Panchayat
Pithora, Distt.Mahasmund (M.P)
R/o Purani Basti, Ward No.7
Pithora, Distt. Mahasmund(MP)

Versus

Respondents : 1. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through Secretary,
Department of Urban Local
Bodies, Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P)

2. Collector, Raipur
Distt. Raipur (M.P)

3. Collector, Mahasmund
Distt. Mahasmund (M.P)

4. Sub Divisional Officer(Revenue)
Mahasmund, Distt.Mahasmund (MP)

5. Chief Municipal Officer,
Nagar Panchayat, Pithora
Distt. Mahasmund (M.P)

6. Ashok Chandra
District Project Officer
District Urban Development
Authority, Raipur (M.P)

7. Siddinath Mishra
Chief Municipal Officer
Nagar Panchayat,
Saraipali, Distt.Mahasmund (MP)

8. A.K.Lohia
Sub Engineer, Nagar Palika
Koria Distt. Koria (M.P)

9. B.S.Thakur, Accountant,
Nagar Panchayat, Pithora
Distt. Mahasmund (M.P)

OATH COMMISSIONER
Smt. L. D. PATEL
B.A. LL.B.
1-4
JABALPUR

Contd.. 2

(3)

..2..

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(31)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.2359/2000 सन् 200

.....विरुद्ध.....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	6.9.2001 : Mr.Vishnu Koshta for the petitioners. Dr.N.K.Shukla learned Dy.AG for respondents 1 to 4. Shri R.K.Gupta for respondent no. 8. Heard. By this petition which is styled as Public Interest Litigation,the petitioner submits that the SDO has conducted some inquiry and inspite that action has not been taken in the matter. Learned Dy.Advocate general submits that the matter does not involve any public interest. It is also submitted that notice for demand of justice has also not been issued to the respondents. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case we do not wish to comment on merits of the case. However,it is directed that the petitioner may make representation to the authorities i.e. respondent no.1 alongwith all the relevant documents and if such representation is made the same shall be considered on its own merits, in accordance with law. Accordingly the petition is disposed of. Security if deposited be refunded as per rules. Sd/- Chief Justice Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

J

23/9/08

Cr 14/9